Criteria for Evaluating the Results Worthy of Remuneration from the Resources of the Coordinator within Progres Q18 (compiled by the Council of the Program)

The criteria for evaluating the results consist of two levels: A and B. Level B has two groups: B1 and B2. The researches/proposers decide by themselves on the classification into individual levels and groups, the Council of the Program Q18 can change the classification according to its consideration.

Level A

Category A will include such significant research results and activities, which clearly exceed the output of a common academic operation (classified into group B2) and which exhibit one or more of the following characteristics:

1) are an original contribution to the knowledge of society, politics, and media, based on a new definition of the research problem and/or an application of a new research methodology;

2) are conceptually anchored in more than one scientific discipline (is

multidisciplinary or interdisciplinary);

3) are a new scientific school.

Furthermore, an essential part of such an endeavour is the following:

1) for the sake of its implementation, a research team has been formed and is still in operation;

2) its results have been and are continuously published in scholarly publications;3) its results are applied in the classwork at Charles University.

In addition, this category also includes a long-term hosting at a major university abroad with proven positive effects on the international cooperation at faculty and development of scientific disciplines.

Level B

Level B has two groups: B1 and B2. Group B1 represents publication outputs. Group B2 represents scientific activities.

Group B1 – publication outputs

Group B1 consists of four basic types of outputs: a scientific book, a chapter in a scientific book, an article in a scientific journal and editing scientific book or monothematic issue of a scientific journal.

Scientific book

1) includes a research monograph of exceptional quality, which:

a) publishes original and revealing results of scientific research of the author or team of authors;

b) achieves a world class within a given field (renowned publishing house, min. 2 renowned reviewers);

c) forms a very significant and demonstrable contribution to the development of the field (praiseful opinions of reviewers);

d) is characterized by a very high methodological level and intensity of treatment.

2) includes a college textbook or a manual of exceptional quality, which:

a) achieves a world class within a given field (renowned publishing house, renowned reviewers);

b) forms a very significant and demonstrable contribution to the teaching of a given field (e.g., providing authoritative textbook or manual for an important topic or discipline);

c) is characterized by a very high educational level and intensity of treatment.

Chapter in a scientific book

1) Includes a chapter in a research monograph of exceptionally high quality (see definition of book 1). No introduction or introductory word is considered a chapter in a professional book. The result of this type can be applied in the category of Editing of Professional Publication.

2) Includes a chapter in college textbook or manual of exceptionally high quality (see definition of book 2).

Article in a scientific journal

It includes an original article published either in a journal impacted in Journal Citation Reports database or Scopus, or in a foreign journal recognized in a given field.

It does not include reviews, profiles, commentaries, editorials, obituaries, etc., or text below 10 standard pages, or 18,000 characters, including spaces.

Editing of a scientific book or monothematic issue of a scientific journal

The edited publication has to meet the above mentioned qualitative specifications for a scientific book or a scientific journal. The rationale for the proposal must include a clear characteristic of the role of the proposer at the emergence of the result.

Group B2 – scientific activities

B2 level comprises scientific activities that are important, yet do not necessarily have the character of a shift for the entire field on an international/global scale.

Scientific teams

1) Formation and coordination of the activities of the scientific team within Progres with the participation of workplaces/faculties/ universities in the Czech Republic.

2) Formation of membership in a foreign scientific team researching a major scientific project.

The team is understood as a group of experts involved in a joint research intent or a project that has a firm organizational structure and systematically reports specific research activities (expert meetings, workshops, and conferences, joint publications, etc.).

Research grants

Termination of the grant project with excellent results significant for one or more scientific disciplines. A prerequisite for rewarding such an activity is that the project was completed under the duration of Progres Q18 and that the researcher or the head of the research team submits the evaluation made by the provider.

Conferences /workshops

1) Performance as a keynote speaker at a major domestic or international conference.

2) Organizing a major international conference or workshop. A crucial part in the conceptual preparation and implementation of the event is a prerequisite for granting a reward or scholarship.

3) Creation and presidency of the panel at a major international conference.

Guest lecture

1) Hosted speech (lecture, presentation, etc.) at a foreign university.

Presentation of the outcomes of science in the public

1) Prestigious performance in public space as a representative in a given field. The most prestigious ones are such performances that, by their scope, traces, etc., make it possible to zoom the public into the field, show its main problems or the most important issues currently under discussion (e.g. Hyde Park Civilization, CS History and similar publications, documentary or popularization programs and radio and television bands).

2) Continuously and significant popularizing media outputs accompanied with an acknowledged affiliation to a faculty (not short commentaries on daily events), such as:

a) specialized collaboration on the screenplay/documentary film/television show, periodically lectures on the radio;

b) essay / extensive commentary in political, social and cultural magazines and revues;

c) successful and long-term conduct of popularizing presentations in new media (websites, scientific blogs, specialized online videos, etc.).

In Prague on 29 October 2018

In charge of accuracy:

prof. PhDr. Jaroslav Kučera, CSc. Designated coordinator for Progres Q18